Effect of soaking, dehulling and boiling on protein, polyphenolic and antinutrient content of cowpeas (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp)
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Introduction

Legumes are good sources of protein and are rich in lysine and tryptophan, which are limiting amino acids in cereals. Common legumes include sugar beans, soya beans and cowpeas. Although soya beans are an important commercial legume, cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) varieties grown by some communities in developing countries have many food and non-food uses. The objective of this study was to determine the effect of soaking, dehulling and boiling on the protein, polyphenolic and antinutrient content of cowpea varieties, namely CBC2 and CBC4. Phenols, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids and saponins were present in the cowpea varieties. Boiling caused a significant (p≤0.05) decrease in the protein content of both cowpea varieties. Soaking for 24 hours resulted in an insignificant (p>0.05) change in the protein content of CBC2, but significantly (p≤0.05) decreased the protein content of CBC4. On the other hand, dehulling seeds soaked for 6 hours resulted in a significant increase (p≤0.05) in the protein content of both varieties. Boiling caused a significant decrease (p≤0.05) in total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), and content of condensed tannins and saponins in both cowpea varieties. Also, soaking for 6, 12, 18 and 24 hours significantly (p≤0.05) decreased the content of these phytochemicals. The greatest losses in TPC, TFC, condensed tannins and saponins for both varieties were observed in seeds soaked for 6 hours and dehulled samples. The results of this study show that boiling, soaking and dehulling reduced the protein, polyphenolic and antinutrient content of the cowpea varieties.
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can protect against the oxidative damage of macromolecules such as proteins, carbohydrates and DNA. This oxidative damage is caused by free radicals. Ndhlala et al reported that in the absence of antioxidants, free radicals can cause conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, atherosclerosis, cancer, Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s and inflammatory diseases [6]. However, high concentrations of polyphenolic antioxidants such as tannins are considered to be antinutritional because they decrease starch and protein digestibility as well as mineral absorption [7]. Trypsin inhibitors, protease inhibitors, saponins and phytic acids are other antinutrients found in legumes that lower the absorption of minerals and inhibit proteases and amylases in the human body [8].

Dehulling, soaking, germination, fermentation and thermal treatments are some traditional processing methods which have been reported to reduce antinutrients in food. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effect of boiling, soaking and dehulling on the protein, phenolic composition and antinutrient content of two cowpea varieties grown in Zimbabwe.

Materials and methods

Sample procurement
Dried cowpea samples (5 kg) of each variety, namely CBC2 and CBC4, were obtained from the Crop Research and Specialist Services Department, Ministry of Agriculture, Harare, Zimbabwe. The seeds were cleaned, placed in polythene bags and stored at room temperature ranging from 20°C to 25°C in earthenware pots.

Preparation of soaked cowpeas
Raw cowpea seeds (100 g) of each variety were soaked in 1 litre of deionised water at room temperature for 6, 12, 18 or 24 hours. After soaking, the samples were dried at 65°C in a cabinet drier for 24 hours and ground into a fine powder.

Preparation of soaked and dehulled cowpeas
Raw cowpea seeds (100 g) of each variety were soaked in 1 litre of deionised water at room temperature for 6 hours and dehulled manually using a pestle and mortar. The dehulled seeds were dried in a cabinet drier at 65°C for 24 hours and ground into a fine powder.

Preparation of boiled cowpeas
Raw cowpea seeds (150 g) of each variety were placed in a stainless-steel pot and cooked in deionised water until soft as felt by pressing with two fingers. After cooking, the cowpea seeds were dried in a cabinet drier at 65°C for 24 hours and ground into a fine powder.

Moisture content
The moisture content of the cowpea samples was analysed according to AOAC methods [10]. The results were used to calculate the protein, total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), condensed tannins and saponins on a dry matter basis.

Protein content
Crude protein content was estimated using the rapid Biuret method described by Johnson et al [11].

Extraction of phytochemicals
Before extraction of the phenolic compounds, the cowpeas (50 g) were defatted using hexane (60 ml) with overnight stirring to minimise subsequent oxidation of the extracts. The mixture was filtered and the residue was extracted twice with hexane for 1 hour. Defatted samples (0.5 g) were mixed with 5 ml of 50% methanol, vortexed for 1 min and ultrasonicated for 10 min. The ultrasonicated mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant produced was used for phytochemical screening, and determination of total phenolic, total flavonoid and condensed tannin content of the cowpea varieties.

Phytochemical screening
Flavonoids were determined by the alkaline reagent test [12]. The presence of tannins was determined using ferric chloride [12]. Alkaloids were determined using Dragendorff’s test [13]. Saponins and phenols were qualitatively determined using methods described by Chidewe et al [13].

Total phenolic content
The extract (50 µl) was mixed with distilled water (950 µl), followed by Folin Ciocalteu reagent (1N, 500 µl) and sodium carbonate (2%, 500 µl). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 40 min, and then a 20 Genesys spectrophotometer at 725 nm was used to measure the absorbance of the mixture against a blank of 50% methanol. The total content of phenolic compounds was expressed as milligrams gallic acid equivalents per 100 g of cowpea sample (mg GAE/100 g).

Total flavonoid content
The extract (4 ml) was mixed with 2% methanolic AlCl₃·6H₂O (2 ml) and the mixture was incubated in a dark room for 15
A Spectronic 20 Genesys spectrophotometer at 430 nm was used to measure the absorbance of the extracts against a blank of 50% methanol. Catechin was used as the standard and the total content of flavonoids was expressed as mg of catechin equivalents (CE) per 100 g of cowpea sample (mg CE/100 g).

**Condensed tannins**

The extract (0.5 ml) was added to butanol-HCl reagent (butanol:HCl, 95:5 v/v). The mixture was added to 0.1 ml of ferric reagent (2% of ferric ammonium sulphate dissolved in 100 ml of 2N HCl), vortexed for 2 min and heated at 90°C in a water bath for 1 h. The mixture was cooled and a Spectronic 20 Genesys spectrophotometer at 550 nm was used to measure the absorbance of the mixture against a blank of 50% methanol. The total content of condensed tannins was expressed as grams of leucocyanidin equivalents (LE) per 100 g of cowpea sample (g LE/100 g).

**Saponins**

Determination of saponins followed the double extraction gravimetric method described by Harbone.

**Statistical analysis**

Data collected were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism statistical package (Version 5, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The results reported in this study are given as the mean±standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if there were significant differences in protein, phenolic compounds and antinutrients between the soaked, soaked and dehulled, and boiled cowpea samples.

**Results and discussion**

**Moisture and protein content**

The moisture content of the dried cowpea samples ranged from 8.39±0.29 g/100 g to 15.71±0.72 g/100 g, as shown in Table 1. The moisture levels obtained are comparable to the recommended maximum permissible levels of 15% for legumes [14]. The results imply that the seeds were unlikely to be spoiled by microbes due to moisture content as it was below permissible levels. Boiling raw dried seeds of both cowpea varieties significantly reduced their protein content (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CBC2 (g/100 g)</th>
<th>CBC4 (g/100 g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>11.46±0.39a</td>
<td>15.71±0.72a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled</td>
<td>12.03±0.25b</td>
<td>11.82±0.45c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked and dehulled</td>
<td>9.90±0.30c</td>
<td>8.39±0.29d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 6 hours</td>
<td>10.17±0.38d</td>
<td>8.48±0.30e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 12 hours</td>
<td>11.92±0.28e</td>
<td>12.97±0.23f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 18 hours</td>
<td>10.76±0.27f</td>
<td>11.74±0.52g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 24 hours</td>
<td>11.00±0.26g</td>
<td>10.54±0.56h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are the mean±standard deviation for three replicates. Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different (at p≤0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CBC2 g/100 g</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>CBC4 g/100 g</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>24.31±0.14a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>26.49±0.13a</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled</td>
<td>18.95±0.32b</td>
<td>−22.04</td>
<td>21.06±0.13a</td>
<td>−20.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked and dehulled</td>
<td>30.99±0.16c</td>
<td>27.48</td>
<td>32.38±0.32d</td>
<td>22.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 6 hours</td>
<td>24.30±0.32e</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25.75±0.13f</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 12 hours</td>
<td>24.79±0.65f</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>26.62±0.33g</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 18 hours</td>
<td>24.46±0.32g</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>25.58±0.33h</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 24 hours</td>
<td>24.05±0.09j</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>24.96±0.32i</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are the mean±standard deviation for three replicates. Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different (at p≤0.05).

**Table 2 - Protein content on a dry matter basis and corresponding % decrease after processing**

Similarly, Osman reported a decrease in the protein content of lablab beans due to boiling [15]. In contrast, Wang et al observed that boiling beans and chickpeas in water significantly (p≤0.05) increased their protein content [16]. The losses observed in this study and those in the literature are possibly caused by thermal degradation and subsequent leaching of soluble proteins and amino acids into the cooking water [17]. On the other hand, soaking caused an insignificant (p>0.05) change in the protein content of both cowpea varieties except for CBC4 seeds soaked for 24 hours. Dehulling of raw dried seeds soaked for 6 hours resulted in a significant increase (p≤0.05) in the protein content of both cowpea varieties. Similarly, Ghavidel et al [18] found that the protein content of chickpea, lentil, cowpea and green gram samples increased after the seeds were dehulled due to the fact that the endosperm is richer in protein than the husk [19].

**Phytochemical screening**

The CBC2 and CBC4 cowpea varieties were positive for phenols, tannins, flavonoids, alkaloids and saponins (Table 3). Methanol (100%) extracts of both CBC2 and CBC4 were negative for phenols and alkaloids. There were no flavonoids in the aqueous extracts of either variety. However, all the phytochemicals tested were present in 50% methanol extracts.
The significant decrease in TPC after soaking observed in this study is similar to the findings of Yasmin et al. [24] who reported a significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in the TPC of red kidney beans due to soaking. Also, Siah et al. reported a significant decrease in the phenolic content of faba beans as a result of soaking [25]. In contrast, Chutipanyaporn et al. reported a significant (p ≤ 0.05) increase in the TPC of different bean varieties on soaking [26]. Akillioglu et al. found a significant increase in the TPC of common beans and pinto beans after boiling [27]. The researchers attributed this increase in TPC to thermal disruption of the cell walls of the legumes leading to the release of extractable phenolic compounds [26]. In this study, the decrease in the TPC of the cowpeas during boiling may be a result of chemical modifications and leaching of phenolics into the boiling water [29]. However, the extent to which the phenolic compounds were lost or retained in CBC2 and CBC4 seeds varied. This may be due to the differences in physicochemical properties such as thickness, size, shape and hardness of the seeds [30].

**Total phenolic content**

The TPC of the cowpea varieties was significantly (p ≤ 0.05) reduced with an increase in the soaking time, as shown in Table 4. The highest loss was observed in CBC4 (76.80%) after soaking for 24 hours, while CBC2 (63.17%) had the lowest. Also, boiling resulted in a significant (p ≤ 0.05) reduction in the TPC of both cowpea varieties with the loss being higher for CBC2 (36.97%) compared with CBC4 (15.24%). The TPC of boiled samples of both varieties was higher than that of soaked samples. Dehulling after soaking the dried seeds for 6 hours resulted in the greatest loss of TPC. From the study, it was also observed that for both varieties, soaking for 6 hours and for 12 hours caused an insignificant (p > 0.05) difference in the TPC of both CBC2 and CBC4 cowpea varieties.

The significant decrease in TPC after soaking observed in this study is similar to the findings of Yasmin et al. [24] who reported a significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in the TPC of red kidney beans due to soaking. Also, Siah et al. reported a significant decrease in the phenolic content of faba beans as a result of soaking [25]. In contrast, Chutipanyaporn et al. reported a significant (p ≤ 0.05) increase in the TPC of different bean varieties on soaking [26]. Akillioglu et al. found a significant increase in the TPC of common beans and pinto beans after boiling [27]. The researchers attributed this increase in TPC to thermal disruption of the cell walls of the legumes leading to the release of extractable phenolic compounds [26]. In this study, the decrease in the TPC of the cowpeas during boiling may be a result of chemical modifications and leaching of phenolics into the boiling water [29]. However, the extent to which the phenolic compounds were lost or retained in CBC2 and CBC4 seeds varied. This may be due to the differences in physicochemical properties such as thickness, size, shape and hardness of the seeds [30].

**Flavonoids**

The TFC of the cowpeas decreased significantly (p ≤ 0.05) with an increase in the soaking time, as shown in Table 5. Soaking followed by dehulling caused the highest decrease in the TFC of both CBC2 and CBC4 cowpea varieties. Also, a significant (p ≤ 0.05) decrease in TFC was observed after both
varieties were boiled. For CBC4, boiling caused a smaller decrease in TFC compared with all soaking times. Dehulling caused significant ($p<0.05$) reductions in the flavonoid content of the cowpeas because the seed coat containing these compounds was removed during dehulling [5].

Adeniyan et al. reported that flavonoids and other bioactive compounds of beniseed (*Sesamum indicum* L.) increased after boiling [31]. However, Brend et al. reported insignificant ($p>0.05$) changes in the TFC during boiling of quinoa seeds [32]. Soobrattee et al. reported that the TFC of quinoa seeds decreased significantly ($p<0.05$) after boiling [33]. These variations in flavonoid content may be due to differences in the methods used to process the legumes. For example, Brend et al. allowed the boiled quinoa seeds to reabsorb the cooking water before analysis [32]. However, in this study, the cooking water containing the leached flavonoids was discarded. Xu et al. observed that other cooking methods such as steaming are better at retaining flavonoids compared with boiling [34].

### Condensed tannins

The condensed tannins of both cowpea varieties decreased with an increase in the soaking time, as shown in Table 6. Soaking for 24 hours was more effective in reducing the condensed tannins of CBC2 than of CBC4. Soaking for 6 hours followed by dehulling resulted in the highest loss in condensed tannins for CBC2 (65.22%) and CBC4 (63.38%). The boiled samples of both varieties had significantly ($p<0.05$) lower condensed tannin content than raw dried samples.

Wang et al. reported that cooking decreased tannins in black grams and kidney beans [16]. Similarly, Mubarak recorded a decrease in the tannins in mung beans after boiling [35]. The decrease in condensed tannin content observed in this study and reported in the literature may be due to changes in the chemical reactivity and polymerisation of the tannins due to heat [36]. Nakitto et al. reported that soaking followed by dehulling sugar beans effectively reduced tannins [37]. Other researchers also found that dehulling effectively reduced the tannin levels in beans [38]. This decrease may be explained by the fact that most polyphenolic compounds are found in the seed coat [39]. Thus in this study, removal of the seed coat caused a significant ($p<0.05$) decrease in condensed tannins. Khandelwa et al. observed a significant decrease in the tannin content of *Phaseolus* and *Cicer* by boiling [40]. The reduction in tannin content was possibly due to leaching of the tannins into the soaking water [41]. Therefore, soaking may reduce the levels of condensed tannins and consequently increase the bioavailability of nutrients in the cowpeas.

### Saponins

The loss of saponins in CBC2 seeds due to boiling was not significantly ($p>0.05$) different from that caused by soaking for 6–24 hours (Table 7). Soaking for 6 hours followed by dehulling resulted in the highest loss in the saponin content of both varieties. In this study, the saponin content of the samples was lower than the value of 4.8% reported by Agugo et al. [42] for ‘Akidi’. Also, the authors found that dehulling decreased the saponin content by 25% in the ‘Akidi’ samples, which is significantly ($p<0.05$) lower than the values obtained for cowpeas used in the current study. The decrease in saponin content may be attributed to soaking of the seeds and dehulling. Saponins are polar [43], thus during soaking and blanching they are leached into the soaking, washing and blanching liquors. Consequently, soaking, dehulling and boiling processes can be used to reduce saponin levels in cowpeas.

---

**Table 5 - Flavonoid content on a dry matter basis and corresponding % decrease after treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CBC2% mg QE/100 g</th>
<th>% Decrease</th>
<th>CBC4% mg QE/100 g</th>
<th>% Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>15.57±0.141</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13.08±0.142</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled</td>
<td>12.80±2.24</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>11.05±0.95</td>
<td>15.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked and dehulled</td>
<td>9.21±0.92</td>
<td>40.79</td>
<td>9.07±1.65</td>
<td>30.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 6 hours</td>
<td>13.30±0.89</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>10.41±0.12</td>
<td>20.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 12 hours</td>
<td>13.13±1.41</td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td>9.98±0.51</td>
<td>23.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 18 hours</td>
<td>11.75±0.35</td>
<td>24.51</td>
<td>9.32±1.21</td>
<td>28.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 24 hours</td>
<td>10.81±0.81</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>9.09±0.72</td>
<td>30.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are the mean±standard deviation for three replicates. Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different (at $p=0.05$).

**Table 6 - Percentage of condensed tannin content per sample (dry matter basis) and the corresponding % decrease after each treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CBC2 %</th>
<th>% Decrease</th>
<th>CBC4 %</th>
<th>% Decrease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raw</td>
<td>0.276±0.002</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.284±0.001</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiled</td>
<td>0.11±0.001</td>
<td>59.78</td>
<td>0.129±0.002</td>
<td>54.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked and dehulled</td>
<td>0.096±0.001</td>
<td>65.22</td>
<td>0.104±0.001</td>
<td>63.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 6 hours</td>
<td>0.166±0.001</td>
<td>39.86</td>
<td>0.222±0.001</td>
<td>21.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 12 hours</td>
<td>0.160±0.002</td>
<td>42.03</td>
<td>0.208±0.001</td>
<td>26.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 18 hours</td>
<td>0.156±0.002</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>0.207±0.001</td>
<td>27.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soaked for 24 hours</td>
<td>0.152±0.001</td>
<td>44.93</td>
<td>0.193±0.001</td>
<td>32.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are the mean±standard deviation for three replicates. Means with different superscript letters in the same column are significantly different (at $p=0.05$).
Conclusion and recommendations

Boiling, soaking and dehulling reduced the protein, polyphenolic and antinutrient content of the cowpea varieties. Removal of the seed coat, heat-induced degradation, polymerisation reactions as well as leaching of these compounds into the soaking or boiling water probably contributed to the observed losses. Therefore, it is important that the cooking water containing the leached phytochemicals is consumed or used to prepare other dishes. Furthermore, pre-processing steps such as dehulling should be discouraged if people are to fully benefit from the high content of polyphenolics found in the seed coat. However, if antinutrients are of major concern, soaked and dehulled is recommended in the manufacture of protein-rich foods for special diets.
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